

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. CV 23-5202-DMG (MRWx)Date July 14, 2023Title *Lupe Gil v. Walmart Stores, Inc.*Page 1 of 1Present: The Honorable DOLLY M. GEE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGEKANE TIEN

Deputy Clerk

NOT REPORTED

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s)
None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendant(s)
None Present

**Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS—ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO
REMAND FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL RULE 7-3 [11]**

On July 13, 2023, Plaintiff Lupe Gil moved to remand (“MTR”) this action to Ventura County Superior Court. [Doc. # 11.] The notice of motion does not indicate that Gil complied with Local Rule 7-3 before filing. MTR at 2.

Under Local Rule 7-3, “counsel contemplating the filing of any motion shall first contact opposing counsel to discuss thoroughly, preferably in person, the substance of the contemplated motion and any potential resolution. . . . at least seven (7) days prior to the filing of the motion.” C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-3. When such conference does not result in a resolution that eliminates the need for a motion or hearing, “counsel for the moving party shall include in the notice of motion a statement to the following effect: ‘This motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to L.R. 7-3 which took place on (date).’” *Id.* Additionally, this Court’s Initial Standing Order [Doc. # 8] reiterates the need to comply with Local Rule 7-3. *See* Initial Standing Order at ¶ 4.b (“Counsel must comply with Local Rule 7-3.”).

Due to Gil’s failure to comply with Local Rule 7-3, the Court **DENIES** without prejudice the MTR. The August 11, 2023 hearing is **VACATED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.